

On algebraic fiber spaces

Yujiro Kawamata

February 1, 2008

An algebraic fiber space is a relative version of an algebraic variety. We prove some basic topological and analytical properties of algebraic fiber spaces. We start with reviewing a result by Abramovich and Karu on the standard toroidal models of algebraic fiber spaces in §1. We can eliminate the singularities of the fibers in a topological sense by performing the real oriented blowing-up on the toroidal model (§2). The rest of the paper concerns the Hodge theory of the degenerate fibers. In §3, we define the weight filtration on the cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. We prove the logarithmic and relative version of the Poincaré lemma in §4. Finally we prove that the logarithmic de Rham complex gives rise to a cohomological mixed Hodge complex in §5 (Theorem 5.2). As a corollary, we prove that certain spectral sequences degenerate.

We consider only varieties and morphisms defined over $\mathbb{C}$. The topology is the classical (or Euclidean) topology instead of the Zariski topology unless stated otherwise.

1 Weak semistable model

An *algebraic variety* in this paper is a reduced and irreducible scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. An *algebraic fiber space* is a relative version of an algebraic variety; it is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties which is generically surjective and such that the geometric generic fiber is reduced and irreducible. We look for a standard model of an algebraic fiber space in the category of toroidal varieties.

Definition 1.1. A *toric variety* (V, D) is a pair consisting of a normal algebraic variety and a Zariski closed subset such that an algebraic torus $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ acts on V with an open orbit $V \setminus D$.

A *toroidal variety* is a pair (X, B) consisting of an algebraic variety and a Zariski closed subset which is locally analytically isomorphic to toric varieties in the following sense: for each point $x \in X$, there exists a toric variety (V_x, D_x) with a fixed point x' , called a *local model* at x , and open neighborhoods U_x and $U'_{x'}$ of $x \in X$ and $x' \in V_x$ in the classical topology such that $(U_x, B \cap U_x)$ is isomorphic to $(U'_{x'}, D_x \cap U'_{x'})$.

A toroidal variety (X, B) is called *strict* if any irreducible component of B is normal.

A strict toroidal variety (X, B) is called a *smooth toroidal variety* if X is smooth and B is a simple normal crossing divisor. Namely, a local model of a smooth toroidal variety has the form $(\mathbb{C}^n, \text{div}(x_1 \dots x_{n'}))$, where $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are the coordinates.

A strict toroidal variety (X, B) is called a *quasi-smooth toroidal variety* if its local model is a quotient of a smooth local model by a finite abelian group action which is fixed point free on $X \setminus B$. Namely, a local model has the form $(\mathbb{C}^n/G, \text{div}(x_1 \dots x_{n'})/G)$, where G is a finite abelian subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ which acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ diagonally on the coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_{n'})$.

Let (V, D) and (W, E) be toric varieties with the actions of algebraic tori T and S . A *toric morphism* $g : (V, D) \rightarrow (W, E)$ is a morphism $g : V \rightarrow W$ of algebraic varieties which is compatible with a homomorphism $g_0 : T \rightarrow S$ of algebraic groups.

Let (X, B) and (Y, C) be toroidal varieties. A *toroidal morphism* $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties such that $f(X \setminus B) \subset Y \setminus C$ and that for any point $x \in X$ and any local model (W_y, E_y) at $y = f(x) \in Y$, there exists a local model (V_x, D_x) at x and a toric morphism $g : (V_x, D_x) \rightarrow (W_y, E_y)$ which is locally analytically isomorphic to f .

The resolution theorem of singularities by Hironaka implies that there is always a smooth birational model for any algebraic variety; for any complete algebraic variety X , there exists a birational morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ from a smooth projective variety.

As for the relative version of the resolution theorem, one cannot expect that we have a birational model which is a smooth morphism. Indeed, we cannot eliminate singular fibers. Instead of the smooth model, Abramovich

and Karu obtained a toroidal model by using the method of de Jong on the moduli space of stable curves.

Theorem 1.2 (Abramovich-Karu [1]). *Let $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ be a surjective morphism of complete algebraic varieties whose geometric generic fiber is irreducible. Let B_0 and C_0 be Zariski closed subsets of X_0 and Y_0 such that $f_0(X_0 \setminus B_0) \subset Y_0 \setminus C_0$. Then there exist a quasi-smooth projective toroidal variety (X, B) , a smooth projective toroidal variety (Y, C) , birational morphisms $\mu : X \rightarrow X_0$ and $\nu : Y \rightarrow Y_0$, and a toroidal and equi-dimensional morphism $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ such that $\mu(X \setminus B) \subset X_0 \setminus B_0$, $\nu(Y \setminus C) \subset Y_0 \setminus C_0$ and $\nu \circ f = f_0 \circ \mu$.*

Let $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ be a toroidal and equi-dimensional morphism of quasi-smooth toroidal varieties. We can describe f explicitly by using local coordinates as follows. Let us fix $x \in X$ and $y = f(x) \in Y$. Let $n = \dim X$ and $m = \dim Y$. We have local models of X and Y : there are integers $0 \leq n' \leq n$ and $0 \leq m' \leq m$, finite abelian groups G and H which act diagonally on the first n' and m' coordinates of the polydisks $\Delta^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid |x_i| < 1\}$ and $\Delta^m = \{(y_1, \dots, y_m) \mid |y_j| < 1\}$, and open neighborhoods U and V of $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ in the classical topology such that $(U, B \cap U) \cong (\Delta^n/G, \text{div}(x_1 \dots x_{n'})/G)$ and $(V, C \cap V) \cong (\Delta^m/H, \text{div}(y_1 \dots y_{m'})/H)$. We may assume that the fixed locus of each element of G and H except the identities has codimension at least 2. Then the morphism f induces a morphism $\tilde{f} : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^m$. The fact that f is toroidal and equi-dimensional means the following: there are integers $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{m'} \leq n'$ and $1 \leq l_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, t_{m'}$) such that

$$\tilde{f}^*(y_j) = \prod_{k=1}^{t_j - t_{j-1}} x_{t_{j-1} + k}^{l_{t_{j-1} + k}} \quad (1.1)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m'$ and $\tilde{f}^*(y_j) = x_{n' - m' + j}$ for $j = m' + 1, \dots, m$. Indeed, since f is toroidal, it is expressed by monomials by some local coordinates. The equi-dimensionality implies that the sets of indices i of the x_i on the right hand side for different j 's are disjoint.

By using [5], Abramovich and Karu obtained a model with reduced fibers:

Corollary 1.3. *Let (X, B) be a quasi-smooth projective toroidal variety, (Y, C) a smooth projective toroidal variety, and $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ a toroidal and equi-dimensional morphism as in Theorem 1.2. Then there exists*

a finite and surjective morphism $\pi_Y : (Y', C') \rightarrow (Y, C)$ from a smooth projective toroidal variety such that $\pi^{-1}(C) = C'$ and the following holds: if we set X' to be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times_Y Y'$ and $B' = \pi_X^{-1}(B)$ for the induced morphism $\pi_X : X' \rightarrow X$, then (X', B') is a quasi-smooth projective toroidal variety and the induced morphism $f' : (X', B') \rightarrow (Y', C')$ is a toroidal and equi-dimensional morphism whose fibers are reduced.

The morphism f' is called a *weak semistable reduction* of f_0 . We note that the fibers of f' are reduced if and only if all the exponents l_i are equal to 1 in the corresponding local description (1.1) for f' .

2 Real oriented blowing-up

It has been known that the general fiber of a semistable degeneration is topologically homeomorphic to the real oriented blowing-up of the singular fiber (e.g. [9]). [6] used this knowledge to put a $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure on a certain cohomological mixed Hodge complex. The real oriented blowing-up is a special case of the associated logarithmic topological space to a logarithmic complex space defined by [4].

Definition 2.1. The *real oriented blowing-up* of a quasi-smooth toroidal variety (X, B) is a real analytic morphism from a real analytic manifold with boundary to a complex variety $\rho_X : X^\# \rightarrow X$ defined by the following recipe:

- (0) If there is no boundary, then ρ_X is the identity: if $X = \Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ and $B = \emptyset$, then $X^\# = X$ and $\rho_X = \text{Id}$.
- (1) This is the basic case: if $X = \Delta$ and $B = \{0\}$, then $X^\# = [0, 1) \times S^1$ and $\rho_X(r, \theta) = re^{i\theta}$.
- (2) The real oriented blowing-up of a product is the product of the real oriented blowing-ups: if $X = X_1 \times X_2$ and $B = p_1^*B_1 + p_2^*B_2$, then $X^\# = X_1^\# \times X_2^\#$ and $\rho_X = \rho_{X_1} \times \rho_{X_2}$.
- (3) The real oriented blowing-up of a quotient of a smooth toroidal variety by a diagonal action of a finite abelian group which is free on the complement of the boundary divisor is the quotient of the real oriented blowing-up: if $X = X_1/G$ and $B = B_1/G$, then $X^\# = X_1^\#/G$ and $\rho_X = \rho_{X_1}/G$. We note that the action of G on $X_1^\#$ is free so that $X^\#$ has no singularities.
- (4) We can glue together the real oriented blowing-ups of local models: if $X = \bigcup_i X_i$ and $B = \bigcup_i B_i$, then $X^\# = \bigcup_i X_i^\#$ and $\rho_X = \bigcup_i \rho_{X_i}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (X, B) be a quasi-smooth toroidal variety. Then the real oriented blowing-up $X^\#$ is homeomorphic to the complement of an ϵ neighborhood of the boundary B in X with respect to some metric for sufficiently small ϵ .*

The real oriented blowing-up is functorial:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ be a toroidal morphism between quasi-smooth toroidal varieties. Let $\rho_X : X^\# \rightarrow X$ and $\rho_Y : Y^\# \rightarrow Y$ be the real oriented blowing-ups. Then a morphism of real analytic varieties with boundaries $f^\# : X^\# \rightarrow Y^\#$ is induced so that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^\# & \xrightarrow{\rho_X^1} & X \times_Y Y^\# & \xrightarrow{pr_1} & X \\ f^\# \downarrow & & pr_2 \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ Y^\# & \xrightarrow{=} & Y^\# & \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} & Y. \end{array}$$

By using the real oriented blowing-up, we can eliminate the singularities of fibers topologically:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ be a proper surjective toroidal and equi-dimensional morphism of quasi-smooth toroidal varieties. Then the induced morphism $f^\# : X^\# \rightarrow Y^\#$ is locally topologically trivial in the following sense: each point $y' \in Y^\#$ has an open neighborhood V' such that $(f^\#)^{-1}(V')$ is homeomorphic to $V' \times (f^\#)^{-1}(y')$ over V' .*

Proof. We use the local description explained after Theorem 1.2. We write $\rho_X^*(x_i) = r_i e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n'$) and $\rho_Y^*(y_j) = s_j e^{\sqrt{-1}\phi_j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m'$). Then the map $f^\#$ is described by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f}^\#)^* s_j &= \prod_{k=1}^{t_j - t_{j-1}} r_{t_{j-1} + k}^{l_{t_{j-1} + k}} \\ (\tilde{f}^\#)^* \phi_j &= \sum_{k=1}^{t_j - t_{j-1}} l_{t_{j-1} + k} \theta_{t_{j-1} + k} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m'$ and $(\tilde{f}^\#)^*(y_j) = x_{n' - m' + j}$ for $j = m' + 1, \dots, m$. We note that the actions of G and H are trivial on the r_i and s_j while those on the θ_i

and ϕ_j are translations. Since

$$\{(r_1, \dots, r_t) | t_i \in [0, 1), \prod_i t_i = c\} \cong [0, 1)^{t-1}$$

for any $c \in [0, 1)$, the fibers of $f^\# : U^\# \rightarrow V^\#$ are homeomorphic to

$$[0, 1)^{n'-m'} \times (S^1)^{n'-m'} \times (D^2)^{n-n'-m+m'}.$$

The restriction of $f^\#$ on $U^\# \cap (f^\#)^{-1}(V')$ for sufficiently small V' is homeomorphic to the first projection of $V' \times (f^\#)^{-1}(y')$ to V' . By gluing together, we obtain our result. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. $R^p(f^\#)_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^\#}$ is a locally constant sheaf on $Y^\#$ for any $p \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.6. Let (X, B) be a quasi-smooth toroidal variety and let $B = \sum_{i=1}^N B_i$ be the irreducible decomposition. Let E be a connected component of the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^l B_i$ of codimension l , and let $G = \sum_{i=l+1}^N G_i$ for $G_i = B_i \cap E$. Then (E, G) is a quasi-smooth toroidal variety. Let $\rho_X : X^\# \rightarrow X$ and $\rho_E : E^\# \rightarrow E$ be the real oriented blowing-ups. Then ρ_X induces a map $\rho'_X : \rho_X^{-1}(E) \rightarrow E^\#$ which is an l -times fiber product of oriented S^1 -bundles.

Proof. Since the boundary B has no self-intersection, E is normal, hence (E, G) is toroidal and quasi-smooth. $E \setminus G$ is smooth and the normal bundle $N_{(E \setminus G)/(X \setminus G)}$ is the direct product of line bundles. Since $E^\#$ is homeomorphic to the complement of an ϵ neighborhood of G in E , we obtain our assertion. $\square$

Corollary 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned} R^p(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^\#} &\cong \bigwedge^p \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}_{B_i} \right) \\ R^p(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{Z}_{\rho_X^{-1}(E)} &\cong \bigwedge^p (\mathbb{Z}_E^l \oplus \bigoplus_{i=l+1}^N \mathbb{Z}_{G_i}) \end{aligned}$$

where the exterior products are taken respectively as $\mathbb{Z}_X$ -modules and $\mathbb{Z}_E$ -modules.

In the case $p = 0$, the above formula means that $(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^\#} \cong \mathbb{Z}_X$ and $(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{Z}_{\rho_X^{-1}(E)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_E$. We note that G_i may be empty or reducible.

3 Weight filtration

We put a weight filtration on a complex on singular fibers. The definition of the filtration is natural thanks to the geometric construction of the real oriented blowing-up. One can compare with rather complicated earlier definitions in [10] and [3].

In this section, we denote by $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ a weak semistable reduction as in Corollary 1.3. We fix $y \in Y$ and let $E_1, \dots, E_l$ be irreducible components of the fiber $E = f^{-1}(y)$. We have $\dim E_k = n - m$ for any k . For any combination of integers $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_t \leq l$, we define $E_{i_0, \dots, i_t} = \bigcap_{k=0}^t E_{i_k}$. We note that $\dim E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ may be larger or smaller than $n - m - t$. For example, if a local model $f : \Delta^4 \rightarrow \Delta^2$ is given by $f^*(y_1) = x_1 x_2$ and $f^*(y_2) = x_3 x_4$ with $y = (0, 0)$, then the irreducible components of E are $E_1 = \{x_1 = x_3 = 0\}$, $E_2 = \{x_1 = x_4 = 0\}$, $E_3 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$ and $E_4 = \{x_2 = x_4 = 0\}$ so that $E_{14} = E_{23} = E_{234} = E_{134} = E_{124} = E_{123} = E_{1234}$.

We call each $E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ a *stratum* of E . Let $G_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ be the union of all the strata which are properly contained in $E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$. Then the pair

$$(E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}, G_{i_0, \dots, i_t})$$

is again a quasi-smooth toroidal variety. Let $\rho_{i_0, \dots, i_t} : E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}^\# \rightarrow E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ be the real oriented blowing-up.

Let $E^{[t]}$ be the disjoint union of all the $E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{E^{[0]}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{E^{[1]}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{E^{[t]}} \rightarrow \dots$$

where we identified the sheaves $\mathbb{Z}_{E^{[t]}}$ with their direct image sheaves on E and the differentials are given by the alternate sums of the restriction maps.

If the number of irreducible components of C which contain y is m' , then $\rho_Y^{-1}(y)$ is homeomorphic to $(S^1)^{m'}$. Let $D = \rho_X^{-1}(E) = (f^\#)^{-1} \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$. We have natural maps $\rho_X : D \rightarrow E$ and $f^\# : D \rightarrow \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$. Corresponding to the irreducible decomposition of E , we have $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^l D_i$ for $D_i = \rho_X^{-1}(E_i)$. We write $D_{i_0, \dots, i_t} = \bigcap_{k=0}^t D_{i_k}$ and $D^{[t]} = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_t \leq l} D_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$. Then we have similarly an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[0]}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[1]}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[t]}} \rightarrow \dots$$

We fix a point $\bar{y} \in \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$ and let $\bar{D} = (f^\#)^{-1}(\bar{y})$. We set $\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t} = \bar{D} \cap D_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ and $\bar{D}^{[t]} = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_t \leq l} \bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $t' = \dim E - \dim E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$. Then $D_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ is homeomorphic to the direct product $\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t} \times \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$, and $f^\#$ corresponds to the second projection. Moreover, $\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ is a t' -times fiber product of S^1 -bundles over $E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}^\#$.*

Proof. We assume first that $t = t' = 0$. Then we have $\bar{D}_{i_0} = E_{i_0}^\#$. By Proposition 2.6, ρ_X induces an $(S^1)^{m'}$ -bundle $\rho'_X : D_{i_0} \rightarrow E_{i_0}^\#$, and we have a map

$$\rho'_X \times f^\# : D_{i_0} \rightarrow E_{i_0}^\# \times \rho_Y^{-1}(y).$$

Since the fiber of f is reduced, we may take the homeomorphism to the ϵ neighborhood as in Proposition 2.6 in a suitable way to conclude that $\rho'_X \times f^\#$ is bijective and a homeomorphism.

In the general case, if we restrict $\rho'_X \times f^\#$ to $D_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$, we obtain a homeomorphism

$$D_{i_0, \dots, i_t} \rightarrow \rho_{i_0}^{-1}(E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}) \times \rho_Y^{-1}(y).$$

By applying Proposition 2.6 again to $E_{i_0, \dots, i_t} \subset E_{i_0}$, we conclude the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.2. We define the *weight filtration* W_q on the complex of sheaves $R(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_D$ on $E \times \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} W_q(R(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_D) \\ = (\tau_{\leq q}(R(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[0]}}) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq q+1}(R(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[1]}}) \rightarrow \\ \dots \rightarrow \tau_{\leq q+t}(R(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[t]}}) \rightarrow \dots) \end{aligned}$$

in the derived category of complexes of sheaves on $E \times \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$, where τ denotes the truncation (cf. 1.4.6 of [2]).

If we take the successive quotients with respect to this filtration, then we can check that all the differentials of the resolution become trivial:

Proposition 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} Gr_q^W(R(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_D) \\ \cong R^q(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[0]}}[-q] \oplus R^{q+1}(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[1]}}[-q-2] \oplus \\ \dots \oplus R^{q+t}(\rho_X^1)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[t]}}[-q-2t] \oplus \dots \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *The local monodromies of $R^p(f^\#)_*\mathbb{Z}_{X^\#}$ on $Y^\#$ are unipotent for any $p \geq 0$.*

Proof. We consider the restriction of the locally constant sheaf $R^p(f^\#)_*\mathbb{Z}_{X^\#}$ on $\rho_Y^{-1}(y)$. Since $f^\# = \text{pr}_2 \circ \rho_X^1$, the weight filtration induces a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^{p+q}(\text{pr}_2)_*(\text{Gr}_{-p}^W(R(\rho_X^1)_*\mathbb{Z}_D)) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(f^\#)_*\mathbb{Z}_{X^\#}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, $R^p(\rho_X^1)_*\mathbb{Z}_{D^{[t]}}$ is a constant sheaf for any p, t . Hence we have our result. $\square$

4 Relative log de Rham complex

Let (X, B) be a quasi-smooth toroidal variety of dimension n . Then the sheaf of logarithmic 1-forms $\Omega_X^1(\log B)$ is a locally free sheaf of rank n . Indeed, if the local model of (X, B) at $x \in X$ is the quotient of type $(\Delta^n/G, B_{n'}/G)$ with $B_{n'} = \text{div}(x_1 \dots x_{n'})$, then the action of G on the basis dx_i/x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n'$) and dx_i ($n' < i \leq n$) of the sheaf $\Omega_{\Delta^n}^1(\log B_{n'})$ is trivial. The sheaf of logarithmic p -forms $\Omega_X^p(\log B) = \bigwedge^p \Omega_X^1(\log B)$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\binom{n}{p}$.

Let $f : (X, B) \rightarrow (Y, C)$ be a toroidal and equi-dimensional morphism of quasi-smooth toroidal varieties. Let $\dim X = n$ and $\dim Y = m$. Then

$$\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log) = \Omega_X^1(\log B)/f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log C)$$

is a locally free sheaf of rank $n - m$.

Following [10] and [4], we define the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ of the real oriented blowing-up by adding the logarithms of the coordinates:

Definition 4.1. (0) If there is no boundary, then $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

(1) If $(X, B) = (\Delta, \{0\})$, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)(\log x)^k$$

where ρ_X^{-1} denotes the inverse image for the sheaves of abelian groups, and x is the coordinate. The symbol $\log x$ is identified with a local section of $\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ on $X \setminus B$. We note that the multivalued function $\log x$ on X becomes locally

single valued on $X^\#$. Therefore, the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ at (r, θ) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ if $r \neq 0$ and to $\mathcal{O}_{X,0} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[t]$ if $r = 0$, where t is an independent variable corresponding to $\log x$. We note that a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ is a finite polynomial on $\log x$ instead of an infinite power series.

(2) If $(X, B) = (X_1 \times X_2, p_1^* B_1 + p_2^* B_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = & (\text{pr}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1^\#}) \otimes_{\text{pr}_1^{-1} \rho_{X_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1})} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)) \\ & \otimes_{\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)} (\text{pr}_2^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_2^\#}) \otimes_{\text{pr}_2^{-1} \rho_{X_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_2})} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)). \end{aligned}$$

For example, if $X = \Delta^n$ with $B = \text{div}(x_1 \dots x_{n'})$, then we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (\log x_i)^{k_i}.$$

In this case, the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ at any point over the origin of X is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,0} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_{n'}]$, where the t_i are independent variables corresponding to the $\log x_i$.

(3) If $(X, B) = (X_1/G, B_1/G)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = (\mathcal{O}_{X_1^\#})^G$.

(4) We can glue together the structure sheaves of local models.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (X, B) be a quasi-smooth toroidal variety, $x \in X$ a point, and let n' be the number of irreducible components B_i of B which contain x . Then $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_x)$ is a locally constant sheaf L on $\rho_X^{-1}(x) \cong (S^1)^{n'}$ whose fibers are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_{n'}]$, where the t_j are independent variables corresponding to the logarithms of the local equations of the B_i at x . The monodromies M_i of L around the loop in $\rho_X^{-1}(x)$ corresponding to the B_i are given by the following formula*

$$M_i(t_j) = t_j + 2\delta_{ij}\pi\sqrt{-1}.$$

Definition 4.3. We define the *log de Rham complex* on the real blowing-up by

$$\Omega_{X^\#}^p = \rho_X^{-1}(\Omega_X^p(\log B)) \otimes_{\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)} \mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$$

for $p \geq 0$. The differential of the complex $\Omega_{X^\#}^\bullet$ is defined by the rule: $d \log x = dx/x$. The *relative log de Rham complex* is defined by

$$\Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^p = \rho_X^{-1}(\Omega_{X/Y}^p(\log)) \otimes_{\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)} \mathcal{O}_{X^\#}.$$

The differential of the complex $\Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^\bullet$ is induced from that of $\Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet$.

If $B = \emptyset$, then the Poincaré lemma says that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}_X &\cong \Omega_X^\bullet \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_X^p \rightarrow \cdots)\end{aligned}$$

in the derived category of sheaves on X . We have the following logarithmic version:

Theorem 4.4. (1)

$$\mathbb{C}_{X^\#} \cong \Omega_{X^\#}^\bullet$$

in the derived category of sheaves on $X^\#$.
(2)

$$R(\rho_X)_* \Omega_{X^\#}^p \cong \Omega_X^p(\log B)$$

in the derived category of sheaves on X .
(3)

$$R(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{C}_{X^\#} \cong \Omega_X^\bullet(\log B)$$

in the derived category of sheaves on X .

Proof. (1) This is a special case of Theorem 3.6 of [4]. For example, we check the case where $(X, B) = (\Delta, \{0\})$. Let $h = \sum_k h_k (\log x)^k$ for $h_k \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$. If

$$dh = dx/x \sum_k (xh'_k + (k+1)h_{k+1})(\log x)^k = 0$$

then we have $xh'_k + (k+1)h_{k+1} = 0$ for all k . Since $h_k = 0$ for $k \gg 0$, we conclude that $h \in \mathbb{C}$ by the descending induction on k .

On the other hand, we can solve the equations

$$xg'_k + (k+1)g_{k+1} = h_k$$

by the descending induction on k to find $g = \sum_k g_k (\log x)^k$ such that $hdx/x = dg$.

(2) We check the case where $(X, B) = (\Delta, \{0\})$ and $p = 0$, that is, $(\rho_X)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $R^1(\rho_X)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = 0$. The case $p > 0$ follows from the projection formula, and the general case is similarly proved.

The first equality follows from the fact that $\log x$ is multi-valued on X near 0. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \rightarrow \bar{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\bar{L} = L/\mathbb{C}$ is a locally constant sheaf on $\rho_X^{-1}(0) \cong S^1$ such that the stalk $\bar{L}_0$ at $0 \in S^1$ has a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ corresponding to the $(\log x)^k$ with the monodromy action given by

$$M(e_k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{k-j} e_j.$$

Then $H^0(S^1, \bar{L}) = \mathbb{C}e_1$ and $H^1(S^1, \bar{L}) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_X)_* \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) &\cong (\rho_X)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \\ H^0(S^1, \bar{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,0} &\cong R^1(\rho_X)_* \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \end{aligned}$$

and $R^1(\rho_X)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = 0$.

(3) is a consequence of (1) and (2). This follows also from Proposition 3.1.8 of [2], because we have

$$R(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{C}_{X^\#} \cong Rj_* \mathbb{C}_{X \setminus B}$$

for the inclusion $j : X \setminus B \rightarrow X$ by Proposition 2.2. $\square$

In the relative setting, if $B = C = \emptyset$, then we have $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet$ in the derived category of sheaves on X . The logarithmic version is the following:

Theorem 4.5. (1)

$$(f^\#)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#}) \cong \Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^\bullet$$

in the derived category of sheaves on $X^\#$.

(2)

$$R(\rho_X^1)_* \Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^p \cong (pr_1)^{-1}(\Omega_{X/Y}^p(\log)) \otimes_{(pr_2)^{-1} \rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (pr_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#})$$

in the derived category of sheaves on $X \times_Y Y^\#$.

(3)

$$R(\rho_X^1)_* (f^\#)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#}) \cong (pr_1)^{-1}(\Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log)) \otimes_{(pr_2)^{-1} \rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (pr_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#})$$

in the derived category of sheaves on $X \times_Y Y^\#$.

Proof. (1) We check the case where $(X, B) = (\Delta^2, \text{div}(x_1 x_2))$, $(Y, C) = (\Delta, \{0\})$, and $f^*(y) = x_1 x_2$. The general case is similar. Let

$$h = \sum_{k_1, k_2} h_{k_1, k_2} (\log x_1)^{k_1} (\log x_2)^{k_2}$$

for $h_{k_1, k_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$. If

$$\begin{aligned} dh = & dx_1/x_1 \sum_{k_1, k_2} (x_1 h_{k_1, k_2, x_1} - x_2 h_{k_1, k_2, x_2} \\ & + (k_1 + 1) h_{k_1+1, k_2} - (k_2 + 1) h_{k_1, k_2+1}) (\log x_1)^{k_1} (\log x_2)^{k_2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^1$, then we have

$$x_1 h_{k_1, k_2, x_1} - x_2 h_{k_1, k_2, x_2} + (k_1 + 1) h_{k_1+1, k_2} - (k_2 + 1) h_{k_1, k_2+1} = 0$$

for any k_1, k_2 . Since $h_{k_1, k_2} = 0$ for $k_1 \gg 0$ or $k_2 \gg 0$, we prove by the descending induction on (k_1, k_2) that there exist $h_k \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $h_{k_1, k_2} = \binom{k_1+k_2}{k_1} h_{k_1+k_2}$, and if we expand h_k in a power series $h_k = \sum_{l_1, l_2} a_{k, l_1, l_2} x_1^{l_1} x_2^{l_2}$, then we have $a_{k, l_1, l_2} = 0$ unless $l_1 = l_2$. Therefore, $h \in \mathcal{O}_{Y^\#, 0}$.

On the other hand, we can solve the equations

$$\begin{aligned} h_{k_1, k_2} = & x_1 g_{k_1, k_2, x_1} - x_2 g_{k_1, k_2, x_2} \\ & + (k_1 + 1) g_{k_1+1, k_2} - (k_2 + 1) g_{k_1, k_2+1} \end{aligned}$$

by the descending induction on (k_1, k_2) to find

$$g = \sum_{k_1, k_2} g_{k_1, k_2} (\log x_1)^{k_1} (\log x_2)^{k_2}$$

such that $h dx_1/x_1 = dg$ in $\Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^1$.

(2) We check the case where $(X, B) = (\Delta^2, \text{div}(x_1 x_2))$, $(Y, C) = (\Delta, \{0\})$, $f^*(y) = x_1 x_2$ and $p = 0$, that is

$$(\rho_X^1)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \cong (\text{pr}_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{(\text{pr}_2)^{-1} \rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (\text{pr}_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#})$$

and $R^1(\rho_X^1)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} = 0$. The general case is similar.

We have $\rho_X^{-1}(0) \cong (S^1)^2$ and $\rho_Y^{-1}(0) \cong S^1$. The map $\rho_X^1 : \rho_X^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \rho_Y^{-1}(0)$ is given by $\rho_X^1(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \theta_1 + \theta_2$.

Let $h = \sum_{k_1, k_2} h_{k_1, k_2} (\log x_1)^{k_1} (\log x_2)^{k_2}$ be a local section of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$, where $h_{k_1, k_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$. The monodromies of the multi-valued functions $\log x_1$ and $\log x_2$ along the fibers of ρ_X^1 which are homeomorphic to S^1 's are given by

$$\log x_1 \mapsto \log x_1 + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}, \quad \log x_2 \mapsto \log x_2 - 2\pi\sqrt{-1}.$$

The function h is single valued along these S^1 's if and only if there exist $h_k \in \mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $h_{k_1, k_2} = \binom{k_1 + k_2}{k_1} h_{k_1 + k_2}$. Therefore we have the first equality.

We have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{(f^\#)^{-1}\rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (f^\#)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \rightarrow (L_X / (f^\#)^{-1}L_Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, 0} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where L_X and L_Y are the locally constant sheaves on $\rho_X^{-1}(0) \cong (S^1)^2$ and $\rho_Y^{-1}(0) \cong S^1$ such that the stalks $L_{X, (0, 0)}$ and $L_{Y, 0}$ at $(0, 0) \in (S^1)^2$ and $0 \in S^1$ have $\mathbb{C}$ -bases $\{e_{k_1, k_2}\}_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ whose the monodromies M_1, M_2 around the first and second factors and M are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(e_{k_1, k_2}) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k_1} \binom{k_1}{j} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{k_1-j} e_{j, k_2} \\ M_2(e_{k_1, k_2}) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{j} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{k_2-j} e_{k_1, j} \\ M(e_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{k-j} e_j \end{aligned}$$

and the homomorphism $(f^\#)^{-1}L_Y \rightarrow L_X$ is given by

$$(f^\#)^{-1}e_k \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} e_{j, k-j}.$$

In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M_1 - M_2)(e_{k_1, k_2}) &= \sum_{j_1=0}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{k_2} (-1)^{k_2-j_2} \binom{k_1}{j_1} \binom{k_2}{j_2} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{k_1+k_2-j_1-j_2} e_{j_1, j_2} \\ (M_1 - M_2)((f^\#)^{-1}e_k) &= (f^\#)^{-1}e_k. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_X^1)_*(f^\#)^{-1}L_Y &\cong (\rho_X^1)_*L_X \cong L_Y \\ R^1(\rho_X^1)_*(f^\#)^{-1}L_Y &\cong L_Y, \quad R^1(\rho_X^1)_*L_X = 0 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(\rho_X^1)_*(L_X/f^\#)^{-1}L_Y \cong L_Y, \quad R^1(\rho_X^1)_*(L_X/f^\#)^{-1}L_Y \cong 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_X^1)_*\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) &\cong (\text{pr}_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ R^1(\rho_X^1)_*\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) &\cong \mathbb{C}_{(\rho_Y)^{-1}(0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y^\#}|_{\rho_Y^{-1}(0)} &\cong L_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,0} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho_X^1)_*(\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{(f^\#)^{-1}\rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (f^\#)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#})) \\ &\cong (\text{pr}_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{(\text{pr}_2)^{-1}\rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (\text{pr}_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#}) \\ &R^1(\rho_X^1)_*(\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{(f^\#)^{-1}\rho_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} (f^\#)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\#})) \\ &\cong L_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the desired result.

(3) is obtained by combining (1) and (2). $\square$

We assume that f is weakly semistable in the rest of this section, and use the notation of §3. Let m' be the number of irreducible components of C which contain $y \in Y$, and let $y_1, \dots, y_{m'}$ be the corresponding local coordinates. We fix $\bar{y} \in \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$ and denote by $\rho_{\bar{D}}$ and $\rho_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}$ the restrictions of ρ_X to $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$.

Definition 4.6. We define the structure sheaves of $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}} &= (\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_E))/I \\ \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}} &= (\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)} \rho_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}))/I_{i_0, \dots, i_t} \end{aligned}$$

where I and $I_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ are ideals generated by $\log y_j - c_i$ ($j = 1, \dots, m'$) for some constants $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the choice of $\bar{y} \in \rho_Y^{-1}(y)$. We define

$$\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log) = \Omega_{X/Y}^p(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_E$$

and define the *log de Rham complex* on the fiber by

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\bar{D}}^p &= \rho_{\bar{D}}^{-1}(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log)) \otimes_{\rho_{\bar{D}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_E)} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}} = \Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}} \\ \Omega_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}^p &= \rho_{\bar{D}}^{-1}(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log)) \otimes_{\rho_{\bar{D}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_E)} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}} = \Omega_{X^\#/Y^\#}^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}\end{aligned}$$

for $p \geq 0$.

We note that there is no ideal sheaf of D corresponding to I because of the monodromies. The following is easy:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $t' = \dim E - \dim E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}$ as in Proposition 3.1.*

(1) *If $t = t' = 0$, then*

$$\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_{i_0}} \cong \Omega_{E_{i_0}}^p(\log G_{i_0}).$$

(2) *If $t' > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}0 \rightarrow \Omega_{E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}^1(\log G_{i_0, \dots, i_t}) &\rightarrow \Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^1(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}} \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}^{\oplus t'} \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

where the arrow next to the last is the residue homomorphism.

The following is similar to Theorem 4.5:

Theorem 4.8. (1)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}} &\cong \Omega_{\bar{D}}^\bullet \\ \mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}} &\cong \Omega_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}^\bullet\end{aligned}$$

in the derived category of sheaves on $\bar{D}$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}R(\rho_{\bar{D}})_* \Omega_{\bar{D}}^p &\cong \Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log) \\ R(\rho_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}})_* \Omega_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}^p &\cong \Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}\end{aligned}$$

in the derived category of sheaves on E .

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}R(\rho_{\bar{D}})_* \mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}} &\cong \Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \\ R(\rho_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}})_* \mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}_{i_0, \dots, i_t}} &\cong \Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_{i_0, \dots, i_t}}\end{aligned}$$

in the derived category of sheaves on E .

5 Cohomological mixed Hodge complex

We prove the main result (Theorem 5.2) of this paper. We assume that f is weakly semistable in this section, and use the notation of §3. We shall construct a cohomological mixed Hodge complex on $E = f^{-1}(y)$.

On the $\mathbb{Z}$ -level, we put a *weight filtration* W_q on the complex of sheaves $R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{D}}$ on E as in Definition 3.2 by

$$\begin{aligned} & W_q(R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{D}}) \\ &= (\tau_{\leq q}(R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{D}^{[0]}}) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq q+1}(R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{D}^{[1]}}) \rightarrow \\ & \quad \cdots \rightarrow \tau_{\leq q+t}(R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{D}^{[t]}}) \rightarrow \cdots) \end{aligned}$$

in the derived category of complexes of sheaves on E .

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^{[0]}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^{[1]}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^{[t]}} \rightarrow \cdots$$

as before, where we identified the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{E^{[t]}}$ with their direct image sheaves on E .

On the $\mathbb{C}$ -level, we define the weight filtration and the Hodge filtration on the complex $\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) = \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_E$.

Definition 5.1. The *weight filtration* $\{W_q\}$ on $\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & W_q(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log)) \\ &= (W_q(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E^{[0]}}) \rightarrow W_{q+1}(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E^{[1]}}) \rightarrow \\ & \quad \cdots \rightarrow W_{q+t}(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E^{[t]}}) \rightarrow \cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where W on the right hand side is the filtration defined by the order of log poles at [2] 3.1.5.

The *Hodge filtration* $\{F^p\}$ on $\Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log)$ is defined by

$$F^p(\Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log)) = \sigma_{\geq p}(\Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log))$$

where $\sigma_{\geq p}$ denotes the stupid filtration (cf. 1.4.7 of [2]). We consider its restriction $\{F^p\}$ on the fiber E :

$$F^p(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log)) = \sigma_{\geq p}(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log)).$$

The following is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 5.2.

$$\{(R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}}, W), (\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log), W, F)\}$$

is a cohomological mixed Hodge complex on E ([2] 8.1.6).

The proof is reduced to Propositions 5.3 and 5.6.

Proposition 5.3.

$$(R(\rho_X)_*\mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}}, W) \cong (\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log), W)$$

in the derived category of filtered complexes of sheaves on E .

Proof. Since the truncation is a canonical functor, this is a consequence of Theorem 4.8 (3) and [2] 3.1.8. $\square$

The following is similar to Proposition 3.3:

Lemma 5.4.

$$\begin{aligned} & Gr_q^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log)) \\ & \cong Gr_q^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E^{[0]}}) \oplus Gr_{q+1}^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E^{[1]}})[-1] \oplus \\ & \quad \dots \oplus Gr_{q+t}^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E^{[t]}})[-t] \oplus \dots \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let (X, B) and (E, G) be as in Proposition 2.6. Then the Poincaré residue induces the following isomorphisms of filtered complexes:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (Gr_q^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log B)), F) \cong (\Omega_{B^{[q]}}^\bullet[-q], F[-q]) \\ & = \left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_q \leq N} \Omega_{B_{i_1, \dots, i_q}}^\bullet[-q], F[-q] \right) \\ & (Gr_q^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_E), F) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{t=0}^q (\Omega_{G^{[t]}}^\bullet)^{\binom{l}{q-t}}[-q], F[-q] \right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $G^{[0]} = E$ by definition.

Proof. The first formula is [2] 3.1.5.2. The second is similar. $\square$

Proposition 5.6.

$$(Gr_q^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log)), F)$$

is a cohomological Hodge complex of weight q on E ([2] 8.1.2).

Proof. We have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & (H^n(E, \mathrm{Gr}_{q+t}^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E[t]}[-t]), F) \\ & \cong (H^{n-t}(E, \mathrm{Gr}_{q+t}^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E[t]})), F) \end{aligned}$$

is a Hodge structure of weight $n + q$:

$$H^{n-t}(E, \mathrm{Gr}_{q+t}^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E[t]})) = \sum_p F^p \cap \bar{F}^{n+q-p}.$$

By Lemmas 4.7 and 5.5, we can write

$$(\mathrm{Gr}_{q+t}^W(\Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(\log) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E[t]}), F) \cong \left(\sum_G \Omega_G^\bullet[-q-t], F[-q-t] \right)$$

for some set of the strata G . Thus the problem is reduced to prove

$$H^{n-q-2t}(E, \Omega_G^\bullet) = \sum_p F^{p-q-t} \cap \bar{F}^{n-p-t}.$$

But this is the usual Hodge theorem on G . □

By [2] Scholie 8.1.9, we obtain the following corollary (cf. [3]):

Corollary 5.7. (1) *The spectral sequence*

$${}_W E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(E, \mathrm{Gr}_{-p}^W(R(\rho_X)_* \mathbb{C}_{\bar{D}})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\bar{D}, \mathbb{C})$$

degenerates at E_2 .

(2) *The spectral sequence*

$${}_F E_1^{p,q} = H^q(E, \Omega_{E/\mathbb{C}}^p(\log)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\bar{D}, \mathbb{C})$$

degenerates at E_1 .

Combining with Corollary 2.5, we obtain the following ([7] and [8]):

Corollary 5.8. $R^q f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^p(\log)$ is a locally free sheaf for any p, q .

References

- [1] D. Abramovich and K. Karu. *Weak semistable reduction in characteristic 0*. Invent. Math. **139**(2000), 241–273.
- [2] P. Deligne. *Théorie de Hodge II, III*. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **40**(1971), 5–57, **44**(1975), 5–77.
- [3] T. Fujisawa. *Limits of Hodge structures in several variables*. Compositio Math. **115**(1999), 129–183.
- [4] K. Kato and C. Nakayama. *Log Betti cohomology, log etale cohomology and log de Rham cohomology of log schemes over $\mathbb{C}$* . Kodai Math. J. **22**(1999), 161–186.
- [5] Y. Kawamata. *Characterization of abelian varieties*. Compositio Math. **43**(1981), 253–276.
- [6] Y. Kawamata and Y. Namikawa. *Logarithmic deformations of normal crossing varieties and smoothing of degenerate Calabi-Yau varieties*. Invent. Math. **118**(1994), 395–409.
- [7] J. Kollár. *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves I, II*. Ann. of Math. **123**(1986), 11–42, **124**(1986), 171–202.
- [8] N. Nakayama. *Hodge filtrations and the higher direct images of canonical sheaves*. Invent. Math. **85**(1986), 217–221.
- [9] U. Persson. *On Degeneration of Surfaces*. Mem. AMS. **189**(1977).
- [10] J. Steenbrink. *Limits of Hodge structures*. Invent. Math. **31**(1976), 229–257.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo,
Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan
kawamata@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp